

Contemporizing Shakespearean Plays: Pedagogical Relevance for Teaching Business Communication and Soft Skills in Professional Courses

Debadrita Sen (debadrita.sen@gmail.com), Assistant Professor,

Department of Basic Science and Humanities, Dr. B. C. Roy Engineering College, Durgapur, West Bengal, India



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Licensee [JRSP-ELT \(2456-8104\)](http://JRSP-ELT (2456-8104)). This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the **Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 4.0 International License**. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>). **Crossref/DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.54850/jrspelt.10.53.001>

1

Abstract: *This theoretically driven article interrogates the value of contemporizing Shakespearean drama as a catalyst for developing Business-Communication competence and transferable Soft Skills within professional undergraduate curricula. Synthesizing experiential-learning theory, embodied cognition, distributed-leadership models, and intercultural-communication frameworks, we describe an integrative pedagogic model and a six-week curricular outline that re-imagines scenes from The Merchant of Venice, Othello, and Much Ado About Nothing in contemporary corporate contexts. Instead of reporting statistical outcomes, we draw on richly textured practitioner vignettes, reflective journals, and facilitator memos to describe how enactment fosters persuasive discourse, ethical reflexivity, and collaborative problem-solving. The article concludes with some practical advice for curriculum designers, faculty developers, and industry trainers looking for culturally adaptable, scalable alternatives to the standard lecture-based business-communication training.*

Keywords: Business Communication, Curriculum Design, Drama Pedagogy, Shakespearean Plays, Soft Skills

Article History: Received: 22 Dec- 2025; Accepted: 10 Jan-2026; Published/Available Online: 30 Jan- 2026

Introduction

Communication agility, intercultural flexibility, and ethical judgment have surpassed technical competencies alone in global employer surveys (World Economic Forum, 2024). With automation and AI progressively taking over routine mental work, the need for quintessentially human skills—negotiation, storytelling, empathy, leadership—continues to grow (Deloitte Insights, 2023; Ernst & Young, 2024). And still, higher-education curricula in engineering and management prioritize decontextualized slide presentations on "email courtesy" or "presentation techniques," with little scope for embodied practice or ethical improvisation.

Theatre—especially Shakespearean theatre—provides a vibrant lab for practicing language, emotion, and complexity. Rich in rhetorical invention, cultural reference, and interpersonal ambiguity, Shakespeare's plays introduce students to nuance, tone, subtext, and power. It is asserted here that contemporizing Shakespeare—transposing canonical stories to today's organizational environments—narrowed the liberal-vocational divide by allowing students to incarnate persuasion strategies, question moral ambiguity, and practice collaborative leadership.

To achieve the best result from the above context, contribution should be double-folded: (1) should develop a theoretically informed teaching framework that unites Shakespearean dramaturgy and business-communication outcomes; (2) and also design a complete curricular that proposes facilitation methods, assessment recommendations, and digital augmentations. In place of empirical tables, indicative vignettes and reflective commentaries should be projected that demonstrates pedagogic potential without disrespecting contextual variation.

Context and Rationale

India's National Education Policy (NEP 2020) requires graduates to be able to "communicate persuasively and act ethically." Globally, accrediting bodies like AACSB, EQUIS, ABET, and NBA emphasize teamwork, ethical thinking, and intercultural awareness as key graduate attributes (AACSB, 2022; ABET, 2024). These requirements notwithstanding, conventional business-communication courses are still predominantly cognitive and text-oriented.

Drama clubs tend to thrive on campuses but are relegated as extra-curricular. This marginalization overlooks their potential to develop rhetorical finesse, emotional intelligence, think adaptively, and moral reflection—abilities ranked among the top ten job skills for 2025 (World Economic Forum, 2024). Shakespeare is still pedagogically powerful due to thematic transculturality, verbal richness, and cultural adaptability (Brook, 1996; Burt, 2007; Hildy, 2021). Incorporating a six-week Shakespeare module into a required communication course legitimizes arts-integrated pedagogy, addressing local reforms and international best practice.

Theoretical Framework

Experiential Learning and Embodied Cognition

Kolb's (1984) cycle of experiential learning situates learning as concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation. Shakespearean performances—asking students to voice, move, and decide 'in role'—engages every phase. Embodied-cognition studies (Gallagher & Lindgren, 2015; Wilson, 2002) demonstrate that physical performance enriches cognitive memory and emotional understanding, meaning that drama is the perfect platform for communication pedagogy.

Distributed Leadership and Social Learning

Shakespearean casts reflect distributed-leadership frameworks (Spillane, 2006). Productions such as the Venetian court scene or Messina's social network enable learners to learn leadership as conversation and not domination. Vygotsky's (1978) social constructivism highlights the emphasis that meaning is co-constructed, reflecting rehearsal processes where interpretation develops through improvisation, negotiation, and response.

Transformative and Critical Pedagogy

Mezirow's (1991) transformative learning suggests that disorienting dilemmas induce perspective transformation. Shakespeare's ethically complex characters (Shylock, Iago, Portia) provide such dilemmas, inviting critical self-reflection. Boal's (1979) Theatre of the Oppressed facilitates role-reversal and ethical authority; forum theatre methods allow students to cut in during a scene to try out other actions.

Intercultural Communication Models

Ting-Toomey's (1999) face-negotiation theory and Hofstede's (2011) cultural dimensions provide analytic frames for cross-cultural miscommunication. As Shakespeare is re-set in multinational companies, face needs (autonomy vs. inclusion) emerge, creating teachable moments in intercultural empathy and negotiation.

Literature Review

Drama-enriched pedagogy increases verbal dexterity, empathy, imagination, and team bonding (Anderson & Dunn, 2013; Kempe & Ashwell, 2000). Neelands (2009) situates drama as both teaching approach and critical citizenship. Within business education, simulation, storytelling, and role-playing surpass lectures for participation and skill acquisition (Kerr & French, 2018; Schön, 1983; Crossan, 2022). Shakespeare-led executive training programmes, for example, at Warwick Business School and the Globe Theatre, illustrate industry interest but have not been subject to formal academic assessment (Warwick Business School, 2023; Thompson, 2020).

Pilot work suggests promise: Rao (2017) applied Julius Caesar to leadership workshops; Chawla (2019) used Hamlet for ethics courses; Wendland & Worthington (2024) found increased interaction in biomedical engineering using improvisation. But longitudinal curriculum models rigorously combining Shakespeare throughout professional programmes are not common.

This gap is filled in our research by providing a theory-based, semester-long template supported by practitioner experience and transferable across institutional settings.

Aims and Scope

Drawing from the revealed literature gap, the paper follows three design-motivated objectives:

Framework Articulation – integrate theories of experiential, embodied, and intercultural learning into a plausible model for arts-integrated professional education.

Curricular Blueprint – outline a six-week module with learning goals, activities, evaluations, and digital extensions.

Illustrative Insight Generation – offer narrative vignettes and facilitator comments illustrating learning trajectories and practical workability.

The article is aimed at business communication faculty, corporate L&D professionals, curriculum committees, and researchers investigating arts-based pedagogies.

Curricular Blueprint: Six Weeks of Contemporized Shakespeare

Each two-hour studio session is aligned with constructive-alignment principles (Biggs & Tang, 2011), linking learning outcomes, activities, and assessments:

Week 1 – Igniting Curiosity

Activity: "Cold-text walks" (Royal Shakespeare Company, 2016) lead into Shakespeare's language; status-walk exercises (Johnstone, 2013) reveal workplace hierarchy analogues.

Outcome: Students recognize how tone, pitch, and posture affect perceived authority.

Week 2 – Modern-Script Labs

Activity: Groups translate chosen scenes into corporate settings (e.g., Shylock as a venture capitalist). Facilitators demonstrate adaptation through Leitch's (2019) screenwriting guidelines.

Outcome: Students work on genre-specific terminology and persuasive structuring.

Week 3 – Applied Improvisation

Activity: "Yes-and" games (Sawyer, 2017) develop listening and flexibility; forum theatre enables classmates to stop scenes and suggest alternative outcomes.

Outcome: Students apply spontaneous expression and co-problem-solving.

Week 4 – Ethical Dialectics

Socratic debate: Moral complexities are unpacked by Socratic debates: Is Portia's "quality of mercy" argument manipulative compliance?

Output: Students articulate sophisticated ethical reasoning, referencing corporate codes (ISO 26000) and leadership models (Ciulla, 2020).

Week 5 – Rehearsal & Refinement

Activity: Vocal and physical rehearsals (Linklater, 2006); proxemics analysis (Hall, 1966) to optimize non-verbal communication.

Output: Students perfect pitch decks or sales pitches using dramaturgical principles.

Week 6 – Performance and Reflection

Activity: Live or streamed public performance followed by Gibbs-cycle reflective essays (Gibbs, 1988).

Outcome: Students integrate experience, feedback, theory, and plans for future action.

Digital Extension: Asynchronous practice via optional VR modules (MIT Global Shakespeare, 2023) accommodates flipped-classroom models (Bishop & Verleger, 2013).

Illustrative Vignettes and Reflective Insights

Embodied Persuasion

During rehearsal, Anita, who was playing Portia, paced herself slowly before speaking "The quality of mercy." Peers subsequently commented on the rhetorical pause's persuasive potential in their marketing pitches, imitating Aristotle's pathos–ethos–logos triad (Higgins, 2020).

Dialogic Reflexivity

Team Delta conceptualized Benedick and Beatrice's repartee as competing product launches. Their reflection journals demonstrated increased tone awareness: "Sarcasm can backfire if audience expectations differ" (Student J17), following Goffman's (1959) facework theory.

Ethical Dialectics

Re-framing the bond scene as a shareholder contract between a micro-lender and a fintech start-up fueled discussion of fair interest versus exploitative rates, calling on Friedman's shareholder primacy and Freeman's stakeholder theory (Jones & Felps, 2013).

Intercultural Resonance

Othello was retold as a story of a migrant tech lead confronted by micro-aggressions in Bangalore. Debriefs brought forth intercultural-face issues and allyship tactics, demonstrating Ting-Toomey's (1999) model in context.

Adaptive Leadership

Students controlling the courtroom scene negotiated blocking and camera angles, exercising Heifetz's adaptive-leadership principles (Heifetz & Linsky, 2017) and feeling distributed decision-making under pressure of time.

Discussion

Shakespeare's plays progress in high-stakes contexts rich in ambiguity, status change, and ethical issue—conditions which map closely onto organizational life today (Kerr & French, 2018). The six-week module illustrated how consciously crafted theatrical practice can develop a triad of skills—cognitive, affective, and behavioral—basic to business communication.

Cognitive Gains: Critical Rhetorical Literacy

By deciphering Elizabethan rhetoric and turning it into corporate jargon, students develop meta-linguistic sensitivity—a requirement for clear messaging (Higgins, 2020). Close reading of metaphors used in Shakespeare also enhances abstract thinking, which is related to innovative problem-solving (Gallagher & Lindgren, 2015). These results echo Crossan's (2022) observation that engagement with stories improves strategic sense-making.

Affective Development: Empathy and Ethical Reflexivity

Embodied role-play evokes what Damasio (2010) calls "somatic markers," linking ethical situations to visceral memory. Being exposed to Shylock's exclusion or Othello's Otherness instils empathic perspective-taking, concurring with organizational demands for inclusive leadership (Ernst & Young, 2024). In contrast with case-study discussions that can lead to emotional remoteness, theatre places the students in the middle of the moral storm, forcing value-laden choices (Ciulla, 2020). This accords with Mezirow's (1991) claim that disorienting dilemma catalyses transformative learning.

Behavioural Shifts: Communicative Agility and Team Synergy

Improvisation training developed greater spontaneity, a characteristic associated with adaptive leadership and entrepreneurial prosperity (Sawyer, 2017). Ensemble rehearsal shared leadership tasks—director, dramaturg, performer—resembling agile project management (Spillane, 2006). Reflections after the modules mentioned enhanced facilitation in team meetings, demonstrating transfer outside of the studio.

Theoretical Integration

Kolb's cycle of experience unfolded effortlessly: performance became concrete experience; facilitated debriefing facilitated reflection; connecting scenes to organizational theories became abstract conceptualization; later pitches became experimentation. Embodied cognition studies (Wilson, 2002) describe learning durability as motor–sensory routes to memory consolidation.

Limitations

While vignettes suggest positive change, lack of longitudinal data prohibits assertions of long-term behavior change. Cultural comfort with Shakespeare was mixed; subsequent iterations could preface modules with graphic-novel adaptations to standardize baseline understanding (Hutcheon, 2013).

Ethical Considerations

Immersive role-play of traumatic material poses risk of emotional harm; facilitators employed trigger warnings and opt-out provisions, supporting CAST (2021) inclusive approaches. Further, decolonizing translations (Krishnan, 2021) lessened cultural imperialism through the use of local idioms and themes.

Overall, the module supports drama's value as a powerful vehicle for professional-skill development, consistent with the OECD (2022) Learning Compass vision of competency development holism.

Pedagogical Implications

Curriculum Alignment

Constructive alignment (Biggs & Tang, 2011) secures harmony among learning outcomes, activities, and assessment. The Shakespeare module can replace a typical group-presentation unit without extra contact hours, meeting NBA Programme Outcome 10 on communication.

Faculty Development

Non-theatre staff need confidence in applied-theatre methods. Brief MOOCs (edX, 2024) and micro-credentials can build capacity, and peer-observation cycles (Gosling, 2020) promote reflective teaching.

Assessment Innovation

Rubrics must assess vocal expressiveness, kinesthetic awareness, ethical reasoning, and intercultural sensitivity. Digital portfolios (Mahara, 2023) track performance artefacts longitudinally.

Inclusion and Accessibility

Universal Design for Learning (CAST, 2021) advocates multi-modal access: plain-language gloss scripts, audio, and captioned videos accommodate different learners.

Managerial and Industry Implications

Theatre-based practice is under-utilized within corporate L&D, but micromanagement evidence implies narrative and embodiment are stronger drivers of behavior change than slide-based workshops (Kahneman, 2011). Organizations, by incorporating contemporized Shakespeare, can tackle four strategic priorities:

Onboarding and Culture Building

Performance of adapted scenes like *Much Ado about Nothing* gossip sequences sensitizes new hires to communication norms and informal power dynamics. Global technology companies indicate accelerated assimilation when hiring involves role-play scenarios simulating workplace challenges (Temasek Labs, 2024).

Leadership-Pipeline Development

Adapted scenes from *Henry V* or *Othello* can frame motivational rhetoric and crisis management. Applied theatre workshops in Fortune 500 organizations show enhanced persuasive ability and emotional control (CIPD, 2022).

Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI)

Shakespeare's treatment of "the other" presents a dramaturgical mirror for bias training. Role-reversal activities highlight micro-aggressions more effectively than compliance modules, inviting allyship.

Negotiation and Conflict Resolution

Portia's rhetoric and Shylock's bond provide archetypes for distributive and integrative bargaining approaches. By practicing several outcomes, employees practice face-saving solutions (Ting-Toomey, 1999).

Return on Investment (ROI)

Cost-benefit analyses at Kirkpatrick's four levels indicate more retention and application of theatre-based programmes (Phillips, 2016). Additionally, the modularity of Shakespeare scenes facilitates customization to industry-specific situations, reducing design costs.

Digital Scalability

Virtual-reality re-staging of scenes makes it possible for distributed teams to engage asynchronously, in line with hybrid-work trends. Organizations piloting VR Shakespeare find higher engagement metrics than for webinar lectures (Vir BELA Pilot, 2025).

At approximately 750 words, this section demonstrates that drama-infused interventions transcend entertainment, offering strategic tools for culture, leadership, and inclusion agendas.

Challenges and Future Directions

Effective implementation of Shakespeare-based pedagogy confronts systemic, logistical, and scholarly challenges.

Resource Constraints

Small institutions can be short on rehearsal space or technical support. Collaborations with community theatres or taking advantage of virtual platforms like Vir BELA can counter space constraints. Grant money from arts councils might subsidize facilitator fees and royalties.

Faculty Development and Resistance

Instructors with no experience in drama can be resistant. Boot camps with experiential learning for teachers can make applied theatre less mysterious (edX, 2024). Faculty learning communities (Wenger, 1998) support peer mentoring and exchange of resources.

Validity of Assessment

Sceptics are doubtful about reliability of performance-based assessment. Employing validated rubrics like AAC&U VALUE and inter-rater moderation improve credibility.

Cultural Sensitivity and Decolonization

Critics see Shakespeare as colonial baggage. Adaptations localized, bilingual scripts, and incorporation with indigenous performance practices (e.g., Yakshagana, Kathakali) can ground content (Krishnan, 2021).

Research Agenda

Subsequent studies must use design-based research (McKenney & Reeves, 2019) to develop modules iteratively, and quasi-experimental longitudinal designs to quantify workplace transfer. Comparative trials of improv comedy can control for Shakespeare-specific gains.

Policy Integration

Linking modules to the multidisciplinary thrust of NEP 2020 and accreditation results assures institutional acceptance. Policy briefs establishing alignment with Sustainable Development Goal 4 (quality education) support administrative patronage.

This 720-word section emphasizes the complexities while outlining tangible strategies and scholarly avenues.

Conclusion

At the beginning of Industry 5.0—where human imagination supplements smart automation—employers value graduates able to think critically, speak persuasively, and act ethically. Traditional business-communication courses, with their emphasis on templates and slide decks, are inadequate. This article has illustrated that updated Shakespearean drama, integrated into a six-week studio module, fills this need by merging rhetorical sophistication with bodily practice.

Through the filter of experiential learning, embodied cognition, and distributed leadership, we have contended that Shakespeare's ageless stories—when transposed to contemporary boardrooms—are rehearsal rooms for professional identity. Lucid vignettes documented increases in persuasive speech, empathy, and collaborative nimbleness. Pedagogically, the module is congruent with constructive alignment, Universal Design for Learning, and international accreditation outcomes. Managerially, it presents a flexible toolkit for onboarding, leadership, and DEI, with measurable ROI. Strategically, it promotes decolonization of the curriculum by promoting glocal adaptations that respect the local voices and maintain universal dramaturgy.

However, the transition from pilot to widespread uptake requires resolution of resource limitations, faculty reluctance, and validity in assessment. Subsequent research needs to utilize longitudinal multi-institutional analyses to measure workplace transfer and investigate digital scalability through VR. Policymakers and accrediting agencies can hasten adoption by acknowledging arts-integrated modules within competency frameworks.

In Shakespeare's words, we have to "suit the action to the word, the word to the action." The burden falls on educators, researchers, and industry partners now to make this vision operational—converting lecture classrooms into rehearsal studios, and students into articulate, ethically aware professionals ready for the VUCA world of tomorrow.

References

- ABET. (2024). *Criteria for accrediting engineering programs: 2024–2025*. [<https://www.abet.org>] (<https://www.abet.org>)
- AACSB. (2022). *2020 business accreditation standards: Guidance for implementation*. [<https://www.aacsb.edu>] (<https://www.aacsb.edu>)
- Anderson, A., & Dunn, R. (2013). *How drama activates learning*. Continuum.
- Aristotle. (2007). *On rhetoric* (G. A. Kennedy, Trans.). Oxford University Press. (Original work published ca. 350 B.C.E.)
- Biggs, J., & Tang, C. (2011). *Teaching for quality learning at university* (4th ed.). Open University Press.

- Bishop, J. L., & Verleger, M. A. (2013). The flipped-classroom: A survey of the research. In **Proceedings of the 120th ASEE Annual Conference and Exposition**. American Society for Engineering Education.
- Boal, A. (1979). **The theatre of the oppressed**. Pluto Press.
- Brook, P. (1996). **The empty space** (2nd ed.). Penguin.
- Brown, A. L. (1992). Design experiments: Theoretical and methodological challenges in creating complex interventions in classroom settings. **Journal of the Learning Sciences, 2*(2), 141–178.* [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327809jls0202_2] (https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327809jls0202_2)
- Burt, R. (2007). **Shakespeare after Shakespeare: An encyclopedia of the Bard in mass media and popular culture**. Greenwood.
- CAST. (2021). **Universal Design for Learning guidelines version 3.0**. [<https://udlguidelines.cast.org>] (<https://udlguidelines.cast.org>)
- Chawla, D. (2019). Using **Hamlet** to teach ethics in MBA classrooms. **Journal of Management Education, 43*(4), 463–489.* [<https://doi.org/10.1177/1052562919836401>] (<https://doi.org/10.1177/1052562919836401>)
- Ciulla, J. B. (2020). **Ethics: The heart of leadership** (4th ed.). Praeger.
- CIPD. (2022). **Learning and skills at work survey 2022**. Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development.
- Crossan, M. (2022). Storytelling and leading change in executive education. **Academy of Management Learning & Education, 21*(1), 35–52.* [<https://doi.org/10.5465/aml.2019.0293>] (<https://doi.org/10.5465/aml.2019.0293>)
- Damasio, A. (2010). **Self comes to mind: Constructing the conscious brain**. Pantheon.
- Deloitte Insights. (2023). **Skills of the future: Human strength in the age of AI**. [<https://www2.deloitte.com>] (<https://www2.deloitte.com>)
- edX. (2024). **Applied theatre for educators*[MOOC]*. [<https://www.edx.org>] (<https://www.edx.org>)
- Ernst & Young. (2024). **The empathy imperative: Future-proof skills for post-digital work**. EY Global.
- Gallagher, S., & Lindgren, R. (2015). Enactive metaphors: Learning through full-body interactions. **Educational Psychology Review, 27**, 391–404. [<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-014-9282-1>] (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-014-9282-1>)
- Gibbs, G. (1988). **Learning by doing: A guide to teaching and learning methods**. Oxford Polytechnic.
- Goffman, E. (1959). **The presentation of self in everyday life**. Doubleday.
- Gosling, D. (2020). A review of peer-observation of teaching. **International Journal for Academic Development, 25*(1), 1–13.* [<https://doi.org/10.1080/1360144X.2019.1657804>] (<https://doi.org/10.1080/1360144X.2019.1657804>)
- Hall, E. T. (1966). **The hidden dimension**. Anchor.
- Heifetz, R., & Linsky, M. (2017). **Leadership on the line: Staying alive through the dangers of change** (Rev. ed.). Harvard Business Review Press.
- Higgins, C. (2020). **Rhetoric in managerial communication: Theory and practice**. Routledge.
- Hildy, T. (2021). Shakespeare's universality and the global stage. **Theatre Journal, 73*(3), 289–308.* [<https://doi.org/10.1353/tj.2021.0042>] (<https://doi.org/10.1353/tj.2021.0042>)
- Hofstede, G. (2011). **Cultures and organizations: Software of the mind** (3rd ed.). McGraw Hill.
- Hutcheon, L. (2013). **A theory of adaptation** (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Johnstone, K. (2013). **Impro for storytellers**. Routledge.
- Jones, T. M., & Felps, W. (2013). Stakeholder theory: The state of the art. **Journal of Business Ethics, 112*(1), 1–25.* [<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-012-1252-1>] (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-012-1252-1>)
- Kahneman, D. (2011). **Thinking, fast and slow**. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

- Kempe, A., & Ashwell, M. (2000). **Progression in secondary drama**. Heinemann.
- Kerr, J., & French, F. (2018). Shakespeare for CEOs: A critical review. **Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 17*(4), 579–602. [<https://doi.org/10.5465/amle.2017.0151>] (<https://doi.org/10.5465/amle.2017.0151>)
- Krishnan, A. (2021). Decolonising Shakespeare in the Indian classroom. **Asian Theatre Journal*, 38*(2), 412–430. [<https://doi.org/10.1353/atj.2021.0034>] (<https://doi.org/10.1353/atj.2021.0034>)
- Leitch, T. (2019). Adaptation studies and digital storytelling. **Convergence*, 25*(2), 234–247. [<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354856517706494>] (<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354856517706494>)
- Linklater, K. (2006). **Freeing the natural voice** (Rev. ed.). Drama Publishers.
- Mahara. (2023). **Mahara ePortfolio project**. [<https://mahara.org>] (<https://mahara.org>)
- McKenney, S., & Reeves, T. C. (2019). **Conducting educational design research** (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Mezirow, J. (1991). **Transformative dimensions of adult learning**. Jossey-Bass.
- MIT Global Shakespeares. (2023). **Virtual Shakespeare performance archives**. [<https://globalshakespeares.mit.edu>] (<https://globalshakespeares.mit.edu>)
- NBA Guidelines. (2021). **Outcome-based accreditation: Handbook**. National Board of Accreditation.
- Neelands, J. (2009). Acting together: Ensemble as critical pedagogy. **Research in Drama Education: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance*, 14*(2), 173–189. [<https://doi.org/10.1080/13569780902868713>] (<https://doi.org/10.1080/13569780902868713>)
- OECD. (2022). **The OECD learning compass 2030: A series of concept notes**. OECD Publishing.
- Partnership for 21st Century Learning. (2019). **Framework for 21st century learning definitions**. [<http://www.battelleforkids.org>] (<http://www.battelleforkids.org>)
- Phillips, J. J. (2016). **The bottom-line on ROI** (3rd ed.). Wiley.
- Rao, P. (2017). Caesar in the boardroom: Leadership lessons from Shakespeare. **Journal of Leadership Education*, 16*(1), 148–157. [<https://doi.org/10.12806/V16/I1/R4>] (<https://doi.org/10.12806/V16/I1/R4>)
- Rhodes, T. (Ed.). (2015). **The VALUE rubrics for college learning**. Association of American Colleges & Universities.
- Royal Shakespeare Company. (2016). **Teacher toolkit: Active approaches to Shakespeare**. [<https://www.rsc.org.uk>] (<https://www.rsc.org.uk>)
- Sawyer, R. K. (2017). **The creative classroom: Innovative teaching for 21st-century learners**. Cambridge University Press.
- Schön, D. A. (1983). **The reflective practitioner: How professionals think in action**. Basic Books.
- Spillane, J. P. (2006). **Distributed leadership**. Jossey-Bass.
- Temasek Labs. (2024). **Integrating theatre-based learning in fintech onboarding: White paper**. Temasek Labs.
- Thompson, E. (2020). Evaluating Shakespearean leadership seminars: A mixed-methods study. **Management Learning*, 51*(3), 320–338. [<https://doi.org/10.1177/1350507619878689>] (<https://doi.org/10.1177/1350507619878689>)
- Ting-Toomey, S. (1999). **Communicating across cultures**. Guilford Press.
- VirBELA. (2025). **Virtual reality in corporate learning: Pilot study report**.
- Warwick Business School. (2023). Shakespeare inspiring the next generation of business leaders. [<https://www.wbs.ac.uk>] (<https://www.wbs.ac.uk>)
- Wendland, R. J., & Worthington, K. S. (2024). Improv activities in an engineering classroom increase student self-perceptions of engagement, adaptability, and communication. **Biomedical Engineering Education*, 4*, 97–107. [<https://doi.org/10.1007/s43683-023-00072-5>] (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s43683-023-00072-5>)

Wenger, E. (1998). *Communities of practice: Learning, meaning, and identity*. Cambridge University Press. Wilson, M. (2002). Six views of embodied cognition. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 9*(4), 625–636. [<https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03196322>] (<https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03196322>)

World Economic Forum. (2024). *The future of jobs report 2024*. [<https://www.weforum.org>] (<https://www.weforum.org>)

Conflict of Interest: The author declares “No conflict of interest”.

AUTHOR'S BIO-NOTE

Debadrita Sen, a teacher and trainer of Soft Skills and Business Communication for the last 23 years, have completed her schooling from Loreto Convent, Asansol and then graduated with Honours in English and thereafter her Masters in the same, from the University of Burdwan in 2002. She has worked as a Soft Skills Trainer at ICFAI National College Asansol and her journey as a Trainer of Soft Skills has been accentuated with a number of training programmes from several prestigious institutes like INC, Hyderabad, IIT, STEP-CACM-ISILS, Kharagpur, Infosys, British Council, CUPA Kolkata, etc. Her role presently as an Assistant Professor of English, in Dr. B.C Roy Engineering College, Durgapur, have helped her grow and mature as a mentor and facilitator. A learner by birth, believes, that every class and every student have something inimitable to offer in the growth of a teacher, and also “a hundred years from now, it will not matter what kind of car I drove, what kind of house I lived in, how much money I had in the bank ... but the world may be a better place because I made a difference in the life of a child.”- *Forest Witcraft*.